#### **President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology**

### Aging America & Hearing Loss: Imperative of Improved Hearing Technologies



September 2015

### PCAST Aging and Technology Study Full Working Group

**Co-chairs** 

Christine Cassel,\*\* National Quality Forum

Ed Penhoet,\*\* Alta Partners

#### **Working Group Members**

Rosina Bierbaum,<sup>\*\*</sup> University of Michigan Jo Ivey Boufford, New York Academy of Medicine Sara Czaja, University of Miami David Dring, Selfhelp S. James Gates, Jr,<sup>\*\*</sup> University of Maryland, College Park Susan Graham,<sup>\*\*</sup> University of California, Berkeley Thomas Kamber, Older Adults Technology Services Jason Karlawish, University of Pennsylvania Art Kramer, University of Illinois David Lindeman, CITRIS Chad Mirkin,\*\* Northwestern University Craig Mundie,\*\* Mundie & Associates Beth Mynatt, Georgia Tech William Press,\*\* University of Texas at Austin Maxine Savitz,\*\* Honeywell (retired) Charlotte Yeh, AARP

\*\*Denotes PCAST member

#### Staff

Marjory Blumenthal, Executive Director, PCAST Ashley Predith, Assistant Executive Director, PCAST **Diane Pankevich**, AAAS Fellow, PCAST **Jennifer Michael**, Program Support Specialist, PCAST

#### **Science Writer**

Robert Saunders, National Quality Forum



**President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology** 

#### **Urgent Need to Improve Hearing**

- Major health and social problem
- Growing importance with aging population
- Few adults with hearing loss use hearing aids (~15-30%)



### **Barriers to Wider Use of Hearing Technologies**

- Cost of technology (~\$2400 per hearing aid)
- Complexity of access
- Social stigma and limited consumer awareness
- Lack of engagement by health providers



#### **Problem Ripe for Change**

- IOM/NAM recently initiated major study.
- PCAST believes a few key Federal actions now could give momentum to needed changes.



#### **Goals of Hearing Report**

- Reduce cost to consumers
- Increase the number of people who use technology
- Stimulate innovation and technology development



President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology

#### Hearing Challenge: Cost

- Unlike other electronics, technical advances have not reduced cost.
- Technology itself is not that costly, with several groups selling hearing aids at lower cost (e.g., VA, Costco)
- Consumer electronics solutions (e.g., PSAPs) available at lower cost



## Hearing Challenge: Consumer Shopping

- Need to allow consumers to shop for best value
- Make internet shopping more accessible
- Allow new entrants into market more easily



President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology

# Is Medical Evaluation Always Necessary?

- Small risk of unusual medical conditions, while tens of millions have untreated hearing loss.
- Opportunity to increase access to basic technology for mild to moderate hearing loss, like reading glasses.
- Continue to encourage people with severe hearing loss to see professional.



#### Path forward

- PCAST analysis finds a few key changes in Federal regulations could accelerate needed changes in access to effective hearing technology.
- Open up the market to lower cost and promote innovation.
- Allow consumer-driven solutions where medical risk is very low.
- Reduce stigma and dramatically improve function.

