July 2015 HHS Retrospective Review Update

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) continues to make progress in its retrospective review work, as directed by the President's Executive Orders (EOs) 13563 (*Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review*) and 13610 (*Identifying and Reducing Regulatory Burdens*). This July 2015 update highlights five published rules, two rules that we anticipate publishing later this year and five new rules. In sum, the update reflects HHS' significant regulatory accomplishments over the past six months¹, but highlights its remaining work to improve quality of care for the American people while reducing costs.

Published: Medicare Shared Savings Program; Accountable Care Organizations

In July 2015, the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) issued a final rule that will increase efficiency and quality of services provided to Federal health care program beneficiaries. The rule advances the President's efforts to transform our health care system by adding incentives for participation in care models that deliver enhanced quality to consumers and spend our health care dollars more wisely.

Transparent reimbursement to providers and suppliers participating in Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) under the Medicare Shared Savings Program (MSSP) ensures ongoing participation in CMS' efforts to coordinate care, improve quality and reduce costs. By soliciting public comment and undertaking economic analyses, CMS streamlined ACO participation requirements and enhanced program trigger, phase-in and exception processes, providing significant clarity to current participating providers and approved applicants beginning participation January 1, 2016.

The savings achieved through finalization of this rule are significant. With issuance of the final rule, the median estimate of the financial impact of MSSP for calendar years (CY) 2016-18 is a net federal savings of \$780 million, which is about \$240 million higher than MSSP savings accomplished without the changes in the final rule.

Published: Head Start Performance Standards

In June 2015, the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) in HHS issued a proposed rule updating Head Start performance standards, designed to streamline existing regulations to eliminate unnecessary or duplicative requirements. The Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007 required HHS to update the performance standards to reflect the latest research and program experience, and the standards have not been updated in over 15 years.

¹ We have removed from our chart submission items previously listed as "Completed" in our February 2015 submission. Items labeled "Completed" reflect items completed between February 2015 and this July 2015 submission.

These performance standards are the foundation upon which grantees strive to deliver comprehensive, high quality, individualized services to low income children.

The proposed rule builds upon extensive consultation with researchers, practitioners, recommendations from the Secretary's Advisory Committee Final Report on Head Start Research and Evaluation and other experts, as well as internal analysis of program data and years of program input on the regulations. In addition, program monitoring has also provided invaluable experience regarding the strengths and weaknesses of the current regulations.

As part of the process of updating the standards, HHS sought to consolidate and simplify the standards to improve clarity and transparency. The proposed rule reduces the total number of requirements by 40 percent and organizes them into four logical sections to make it easier for grantees and other stakeholders to understand what is expected of Head Start programs. ACF and HHS plan to publish the final rule in March 2016.

Published: Reform of Requirements for Long-Term Care Facilities

In July 2015, CMS published a proposed rule revising the requirements that Long-Term Care (LTC) facilities must meet to participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. These changes are necessary to reflect the substantial advances that have been made over the past several years in the theory and practice of service delivery and safety. These proposals are also an integral part of our efforts to achieve broad-based improvements both in the quality of health care furnished through federal programs, and in patient safety, while at the same time reducing procedural burdens on providers. These changes will allow more flexibility in how care is delivered in the LTC setting which will enhance the lives of residents who reside in LTC facilities. CMS solicited public comment and feedback from industry in connection with this initiative. CMS expects to publish the final rule in September 2016.

Published: Veterinary Feed Directives

In June 2015, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in HHS finalized a rule to improve the process for veterinarians to issue feed directives to align with other initiatives related to FDA's antimicrobial resistance strategy. In particular, the final rule streamlines the veterinary feed directive process for regulated parties, including veterinarians.

The final rule develops a smarter, more cost-effective regulatory program in the context of agency-wide antimicrobial resistance strategy. In particular, FDA estimates reduction in veterinarian labor costs due to this rule to result in a cost savings of about \$7.87 million annually; other, additional annualized cost savings associated with the final rule amount to about \$13,000 over 10 years.

Published: Medicaid Managed Care

In June 2015, CMS published a proposed rule that modernizes the Medicaid managed care regulations to reflect changes in the usage of managed care delivery systems. The rule aligns the rules governing Medicaid managed care with those of other major sources of coverage, including coverage through Qualified Health Plans and Medicare Advantage plans. The proposed rule strengthens the actuarial soundness payment provisions to promote the accountability of Medicaid managed care program rates, ensures appropriate beneficiary protections and enhances CMS expectations for program integrity.

Through public comment, program analyses and feedback from Medicaid state partners, the proposed rule streamlines requirements and enhances state flexibilities within the Medicaid managed care framework.

In the first year alone, the overall economic impact of the proposed rule is estimated to be \$112 million. Aside from the economic benefits of implementation, the proposed rule would improve health outcomes, reduce unnecessary services, improve beneficiary experience and improve program access and transparency. CMS plans to issue the final rule in April 2016.

Anticipated: Hazard Analysis and Risk-Based Preventative Controls for Human Food

By Fall 2015, FDA plans to publish a final rule requiring food facilities to have and implement preventive controls to significantly minimize or prevent the occurrence of hazards that could affect food manufactured, processed, packed, or held by the facility. The final rule is a significant milestone in FDA's ongoing, public health protection efforts.

The rule would modernize current good manufacturing practices for food; it is intended to prevent – or at a minimum, quickly identify – food-borne pathogens before entrance into the food supply. Very small businesses would be permitted to comply with modified requirements that exempt small and very small farms that only conduct specified low-risk activities, and would provide an extended compliance date for small and very small businesses.

Anticipated: Flexibility, Efficiency and Modernization of Child Support Enforcement Programs

By the end of 2015, ACF, through HHS, plans to finalize a rule that would make child support program operations and enforcement procedures more flexible and more efficient by recognizing advancements in technology and the move toward electronic communications and document management. The rule advances HHS' department-wide regulatory goal of assisting working families secure the building blocks for success at every stage of life.

The rule would improve document management by allowing states to submit and accept information electronically; increase statutory state law exemption approval periods from three to five years; update case closure criteria to increase state flexibility and facilitate effective transfer

between states and tribes; and, discontinue the mandate for states to notify other states involved in enforcing a support order when they submit an interstate case for offset.

New: Medical Examination of Aliens

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC), through HHS, issued a proposed rule in June 2015 to update the definition of "communicable disease of public health significance" by removing three minor and uncommon bacterial sexually transmitted infections (*chancroid, granuloma inguinale*, and *lymphogranuloma venereum*). Other proposed changes are technical and administrative in nature and include: updating the notification of the health-related grounds of inadmissibility to include proof of vaccinations to align with existing requirements established by the Immigration and Nationality Act; and clarifying and revising the evaluation requirements for tuberculosis to reflect current terminology and practice. . CDC plans to issue a final rule in December 2015.

New: Post-market Safety Reporting Requirements for Human Drugs and Biological Products

FDA is considering whether to revise certain definitions and reporting requirements based on recommendations of the International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements in order to enhance the quality of post-market safety reports.

New: NIH Construction Grants

The National Institutes of Health (NIH), through HHS, is developing a proposed rule that would revise the current NIH construction grants regulations (last updated in November 1999) to reflect updated standards and practices. Updating the regulations to reflect these changes will increase transparency of current procedures and practices and ensure that the most current information is readily available to potential grantees.

New: Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs; Request for Information Regarding Specific Issues Related to the Use of the Hair Specimen for Drug Testing

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) through HHS, is requesting public comment on hair testing to establish scientific and technical guidelines for the inclusion of hair testing in the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs (Guidelines). The inclusion of hair within the Guidelines would allow Executive Branch agencies and regulated industry to implement an alternative testing process to the current, urine-based testing program (and proposed addition of oral fluid specimens). The use of an electronic chain-of-custody form will also reduce the administrative burden of program participation. Public comment on the proposal closes July 29, 2015.

New: Revise, Update And Re-issue HHS Grants Administration Regulations

HHS' Office of the Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources (ASFR) is amending its Grant Administration Regulations at 45 CFR Part 75, and others, to incorporate the new grants administration policy captured by the December 2014 OMB Grant Reform Guidance. These updates will streamline many of the grants requirements, and enhance stewardship of grants funds.

| _ | | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|---|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | Status of | Target Completion | | | |
| | | | | Initiative | Date (if completed, | | | |
| | | RI | 1 | New to this | please add the | Does the Initiative include regulatory flexibilities such as pilot | | |
| | | OI | В | update, | publication date and | projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger | | |
| Agency | Sub-agency | Title Of Initiative/Rule or ICR No. | nber Summary of Initiative | Ongoing, or Completed | cite in Federal | provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or other similar strategies? | What methods will you engage in to Identify Improvements (public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc.). Please identify all that apply | If Available, anticipated or realized savings in costs &/or burdens and anticipated or realized changes in benefits |
| Agency | Sub-agency | Title of initiative/Rule of ICK | Junitary of initiative | Completed | Register for example) | other similar strategies: | писниту он инстарру | realized changes in benefits |
| | | | | | | This proposed rule would: 1) provide flexibility in the use of cost- | | |
| | | | | | | saving and efficient technologies, such as e-mail or electronic | | |
| | | | This rule would: 1) improve document management by allowing states to submit and | | | document storage, whenever possible; 2) provide relief to states | | |
| | | | accept information electronically; 2) increase statutory state law exemption approval periods from three to five years; 3) update case closure criteria to increase state flexibility | | | | Child Support Directors voluntarily established a subcommittee that would provide OCSE with cost saving proposals. We also sought tribal input in a formal fashion as discussed in the Tribal Impact Statement. These efforts helped OCSE to: Identify | |
| | | | and facilitate effective transfer between states and tribes; and 4) discontinue the mandat | | | greater flexibility to close unenforceable cases and redirect | regulations where we could encourage noncustodial parents to assume more personal responsibility: increase state and employer | |
| | | | for states to notify other states involved in enforcing a support order when they submit a | | | resources to more productive efforts and provide states a | flexibility to better serve families; improve program effectiveness, efficiency, and innovation; streamline intergovernmental case | |
| | | | interstate case for offset. States referring interstate child support cases for federal | | | process to close and transfer cases to tribal child support | processing; improve customer service; and remove barriers identified by employers, states, and families that impede efficient and | These proposed regulations, along with proposed changes in recognition of |
| | | Flexibility, Efficiency, and | income tax refund offset to collect past-due child support would notify other states | | | programs; and 4) relieve states from being inundated with | timely child support payments. We also identified obsolete and outmoded requirements and technical fixes that are needed. This | technological advances, will improve the delivery of child support services, support the |
| | | Modernization of Child Support 09 | 0- involved in enforcing the support orders when offset amounts are received from the U.S. | | Final Rule target: | unnecessary information, ultimately saving both time and | proposed rule recognizes and incorporates policies and practices that reflect the progress and positive results that have resulted | efforts of noncustodial parents to provide for their children, and improve the efficiency |
| HHS | ACF | Enforcement Programs AC | 70 Treasury. | Ungoing | December 2015 | resources. | from successful program implementation by states and tribes. | or operations. ***e estimate the changes to have a net cost of approximately \$1 billion, primarily univen- |
| | | | | | | | | by the increases in the length of the day and year. The President's FY 2016 budget |
| | | | | | | | | request includes a \$1 billion initiative to increase the length of the program day and year |
| | | | This proposed rule would modify Head Start performance standards to implement provisions in the Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007. Head Start | | | | This NPRM builds upon extensive consultation with researchers, practitioners, recommendations from the Secretary's Advisory Committee Final Report on Head Start Research and Evaluation and other experts, as well as internal analysis of program data and | which would cover the bulk of the costs associated with these changes. However, |
| | | | performance standards would be revised to take into account increased knowledge in the | | NPRM Published June | | years of program input on the regulations. In addition, program monitoring has also provided invaluable experience regarding the | |
| | | | early childhood field since the standards were last updated more than 15 years ago. | | 19, 2015; 80 FR 35429. | | strengths and weaknesses of the current regulations. Moreover, research and practice in the field of early childhood education has | |
| | | 09 | 0- Changes would strengthen requirements on curriculum and assessment, supervision, | | Final Rule target: July | The notice of proposed rulemaking would streamline existing | expanded exponentially in the 15 years since the regulations governing service delivery were last revised, providing a multitude of | sustaining better child and family outcomes. Therefore despite potentially serving fewer |
| HHS | ACF | Head Start Performance Standards AC | health and safety, and governance. | Ongoing | 2016 | regulations to eliminate unnecessary or duplicative requirements. | new insights on how to support improved child outcomes. | children, having a larger, more sustainable impact on those we serve will result in |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | This rule would remove the existing regulations for the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA). There have been major and extensive legislative changes to | | | | | CAPTA is not a permanently authorized program and must be reauthorized every five years. The existing regulations for CAPTA (45 CFR 1340) are outdated and no longer |
| | | | CAPTA since the regulations were issued in 1983 and updated in 1990. Consequently, the | | | | | apply to the CAPTA programs they were designed to implement. There are no budget |
| | | Removal of Child Abuse Prevention and 09 | 0- existing regulations for CAPTA (45 CFR 1340) are outdated and no longer apply to the | | Published: March 30, | | | implications associated with removing the CAPTA regulations from the Code of Federal |
| нн | S ACF | Treatment Act (CAPTA) Regulations AC | CAPTA programs they were designed to implement. | Completed | 2015; 80 FR 16577 | N/A- This is a final rule to remove outdated regulations. | N/A- This is a final rule to remove outdated regulations | Regulations. |
| | | | | | | We are proposing a 24 month transition period of uninterrupted | | |
| | | | | | | funding sufficient to allow title IV-E agencies to make a | We solicited comments from the public through a Federal Register notice in summer 2010, and conducted a series of conference | This proposed regulation would provide greater flexibility to states and tribes, and result |
| | | Comprehensive Child Welfare 09 | This proposed rule would grant greater flexibility to states and tribes to implement o- automation that supports their business models; reflect changing technology advances; | | Proposed Pule target | determination about how to proceed under the new rules and whether to transition their existing system to new system | calls with interested stakeholder groups to discuss the 2010 FR Notice, answer questions, and encourage the submission of comments. We engaged in a tribal consultation concerning the SACWIS regulations in Spring 2012. The proposed rule will have a | in lower costs for the design, development, implementation, operation, and maintenance of state and tribal systems. Increased flexibility would also help foster care |
| нн | S ACF | comprehensive crima vventure | and enable tribes to implement SACWIS-like systems. | Ongoing | July 2015 | requirements. | public comment period, and we will consider those comments in drafting the final rule. | agencies place and keep track of children across jurisdictions |
| | | | | 0.0 | | | | |
| ннѕ | ACF | Child and Family Services Quality Improvement (CFSQI) for States and the Child and Family Services Plan (CFSP) Of States and Indian Tribes AK | The proposed rule for the CFSQI process is a revised monitoring protocol of titles IV-B an IV-E of the Social Security Act for State child welfare agencies as required in section 1123 of the Social Security Act frevise 45 CFR 1355.10 1355.39). The CFSQI process would allow states to use results from their internal quality assurance processes to meet federal monitoring requirements and would be integrated into current comprehensive child and family services planning under the CFSP. The current regulated monitoring protocol for state child welfare agencies is known as the Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSR). Fo indian tribes, the proposed rule will also update and streamline requirements for the title IV-B plans for Indian tribes (revise 45 CFR 1357). | A I or | Proposed Rule target: June 2015 | In spring 2013, we completed a four state pilot of a process to assess the continuous quality improvement systems of states. We are waiting to complete the 2014-2015 CFSR review cycle before finalizing the proposed rule. We are making several adjustments to the 2014-2015 CFSR reviews, including changes to data measures, the review process, and integration of the CFSP process. Conducting a cycle of reviews with these changes will inform our rulemaking. | During the second round of CFSRs, we continued to evaluate the process by gathering informal feedback from administrators and others involved in the CFSRs on an ongoing basis. In Spring 2011, we issued a Federal Register request for public comment about improvements the CFSRs. We conducted a series of in-person meetings and tribal roundtables to solicit comments. In 2012, we also conducted tribal consultations on the title IV-9 plan requirements. In Spring 2014, we issued a Federal Register notice requesting public comment on a plan to replace the statewide data indicators and the methods for calculating associated national standards on those indicators. We consulted with experts (including a consultant that specializes in child welfare emasurement and a panel of child welfare administrators and data measurement experts) and considered public comments in developing this plan. As discussed in the previous column, we are conducting a modified CFSR prior to finalizing the proposed rule and will use our experience with those reviews to inform our rulemaking. In addition, this will be a proposed rule with a public comment period, and we will consider those comments in derafting the final rule. | The proposed rule would streamline the child and family services reporting and monitoring for states and indian tribes. It will also reduce the amount of duplicate effort and information created; align federal and state quality assurance activities; and provide flexibility for states to craft quality assurance procedures that line up with state child welfare practices. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | Family Violence Prevention and 05 | This proposed rule would rescind the requirement to publish quarterly funding opportunity announcements in the Federal Resister and revise regulations to bring them | | Proposed Rule target: | | ACF/FYSB engaged in various meetings and consultations, among many other activities, that assisted in the development of the NPRM. To support our statutory responsibilities for administering the state and coalition formula grants, we host either an annual or bi-annual, joint grantee meeting of the State PD98 funding administrators and the State Domestiv Violence Coalitions. These meetings provide important opportunities for federal, state, and private staff to engage with each other to learn about and address issues of intersecting importance, including issues such as protecting victim/survivor confidentiality that are addressed in the proposed rule. The National Resource Centers, Special Issue Resource Centers, and Culturally-Specific Special Issue Resource Centers comprise what is known as the FVPSA Domestic Violence Resource Network (DVRN). The DVRN convenes every one to two years to share and promote evidence-informed and best practices about prevention and intervention services of victims of family, domestic, and dating violence. ACF funded tribal administrators, advocates, and leaders also are convened annually. Issues addressed and best practices shared are most commonly related to service delivery new initiatives; business needs; funding issues; information exchange; collaborations ranging from service delivery needs to police response; cultural sensitivity; advocacy; and the statutory requirements of the VYPSA. ACF also hosts annual tribal consultations. The consultations solicit recommendations and/or mutual understanding from tribal government leaders on issues ranging from funding availability to departmental priorities. In addition, ACF staff participates in annual tribal consultations sponsored by DOI's Office on Violence Against Women. The purpose of those consultations is to engage in a government-to-government dialogue between the U.S. Government and the leaders from indian tribal governments on how to best enhance the safety of Alaska Natives and American Indians and reduce domestic violence, | |
| HHS | ACF | Services Program (FPVSA) AC | into conformity with the reauthorized Family Violence Prevention and Services Act. | Ongoing | July 2015 | This rule would clarify programmatic operating procedures. | requirements and, therefore, informed the NPRM development process. | This rule would clarify programmatic operating procedures. |

| | | | | | | | I | 1 | | |
|-----|--------|----------|---|---------------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | Status of | Target Completion | | | |
| | | | | | | Initiative | Date (if completed, | | | |
| | | | | RIN/ | | | please add the | Does the Initiative include regulatory flexibilities such as pilot | | |
| | | | | OMB | | | publication date and cite in Federal | projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or | What methods will you engage in to Identify Improvements (public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc.). Please | If Available, anticinated or realized savings in costs & for burdens and anticinated or |
| Age | ncy Su | b-agency | Title Of Initiative/Rule or ICR | Number | Summary of Initiative | | | | identify all that apply | realized changes in benefits |
| нн | S AC | CF. | Revision of Refugee Medical Assistance Regulations | | Revise 45 CFR 400.90 - 400.107 regarding refugee medical assistance (RMA) to harmonize with the Affordable Care Act, specifically the eligibility determination methodology | | | By updating the regulations to use the same income methodology specified in the Affordable Care Act, the process for determining eligibility of refugees for medical insurance is streamlined into one application and one system. The rule also will permit full-time college students to access health insurance and explicitly requiring states to get written approval to get Refugee Medical Assistance funding for medical screening without prior determination of eligibility. | Before drafting the proposed rules, ORR consulted with state agencies that implement ORR regulations, primarily State Refugee Coordinators and State Refugee Health Coordinators. This helped ORR identify regulations that were obsolete and outmoded and impose unnecessary burdens on states. | The update to the regulations will conform to changes to Medicaid resulting from the implementation of the Affordable Care Act. This update will harmonize RMA and Medicaid income methodologies and reduce the burden on States by eliminating the need for a separate income determination process for Medicaid and RMA. Aligning RMA with Medicaid will increase refugee access to healthcare and provide parity between RMA and Medicaid. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Performance Standards for Runaway | 0970- | This proposed rule would implement section VIII of the Reconnecting Youth Act of 2008, requiring HHS to issue rules that specify performance standards for public and nonprofit private entities that receive grants under the Runaway and Homeless Youth Program. The proposed rule also would harmonize the regulations with existing statute and administrative and managerial provisions already in use and make changes to reduce | , | Final Rule target: | | In keeping with the requirements of the statute, the Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) sought input from grantees and other stakeholders prior to the development of the proposed rule. In April 2009, FYSB conducted a consultation forum that brought together forty-four individuals including subject experts, technical assistance providers, Runaway and Homeless Youth grantees, Federal staff, persons with extensive program monitoring experience, and national, regional and statewide youth servicing organization representatives. FYSB also obtained stakeholder perspectives and other information to inform the proposed rule in a number of additional ways. Since 2008, we have conducted national conferences bringing together all stakeholder groups and allowing for broad, informal exchanges of views. One such conference, the 2008 Runaway and Homeless Youth Grantee. Conference, was attended by 442 participants, including representatives from 252 grantee organizations, to share ideas, promising approaches, and best practices. Participants me in over 30 different workshops addressing both universal issues and specific programmatic needs of the three major Runaway and Homeless Youth programs. Through the Runaway and Homeless Youth Training and Technical Assistance Centers, we have conducted an extensive training, technical assistance, and monitoring effort aimed not only at assisting grantees, but also at obtaining their feedback on operational issues. In tandem with these efforts, we conducted an in-depth review of existing regulatory and sub-regulatory issuances and developed a comprehensive set of on-site review materials, in use since February 2009. In April 2014, the RHY NRRN was published in the Federal Register for public comment. FYSB staff also developed some FAQs pertaining to the NPRM and conducted a Town Hall meeting with stakeholders to | |
| нн | AC | F | and Homeless Youth Grantees | | burden associated with the grant application process. | Ongoing | December 2015 | assure accountability. | comment period, all comments were reviewed and revisions were made to the NPRM which is now in ACE review. | automation. |
| | | . | Health and Human Services Acquisition Regulations (HHSAR) | 0991- AB86 | HHS is amending its Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) supplement - the HHS Acquisition Regulation (HHSAR) - in its entirety to remove internal procedural matters which are non-regulatory and update to incorporate new policy and correct or clarify existing policy. This proposed rule will revise the HHSAR in its entirety to reflect statutory, FAR, and Government-wide and HHS policy changes since the last revision to the HHSAR in November 2010. | Completed | Final Rule target: December 2015 | This rule will increase efficiency through effective use of guidance, appropriate application of policy and remove unnecessary burden to the bublic. | Public comments and Analysis | |
| | , , | · K | negumbons (moral) | | HHS is amending its Grant Administration Regulations at 45 CFR Part 75, and others to incorporate OMB changes to the new grants administration policy captured by the December 2014 OMB Grant Reform Guidance. OMB is working on finalizing their documents, and HHS will follow shortly | Ongoing, but just | becember 2013 | The government-wide changes streamline many of the grants | Took Comments and relaysta | Tours Commens and Palarysis |
| | | | Revise, Update and Re-issue HHS Grants | | thereafter. | beginning this | | requirements, and provide updated provisions to enhance stewardship of | | |
| HHS | AS | FR | Administration Regulations | none yet | | phase. | 12/1/2015 | grants funds. | public comment | TBD |
| нн | С | С | Medical Examination of Aliens | AA28 | | Ongoing; NRPM | Estimated publication of | Streamlined requirements | Public comment Public comment | none |
| | | | | 0920- | Proposes to rescind because work described in this regulation is no longer performed by | | Proposed Rule target: | | | |
| нн | S CE | vs. | Medicare Shared Savings Program; Accountable Care Organizations (CMS-1461+) | | NIOSH. This rule addresses changes to the Medicare Shared Savings Program and contains provisions relating to Medicare payments to providers of services and suppliers participating in Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) under the Medicare Shared Savings Program. These changes apply to existing ACOs and approved ACO applicants participating in the program beginning January 1, 2016. | Ongoing Completed | | N/A- This is a final rule to remove outdated regulations. Trigger provisions; Streamlined requirements; Phase-ins; Exceptions processes | Public comment Public comment; Analyses | N/A As participation in the Shared Savings Program continues to expand, we anticipate a broader focus on care coordination and quality improvement among providers and suppliers within the Medicare program that would lead to both increased efficiency in the provision of care and improved quality of care provided to beneficiaries. As a result of this final rule, the median estimate of the financial impact of the Shared Savings Program for calendary ears (ICS) 2016 through 2018 is a net federal savings fafter sharing savings) of 5780 million, which is about \$240 million higher than we estimate if none of the changes in this rule were made for this period. |
| нн | S CM | ИS | Emergency Preparedness Requirements for Medicare and Medicaid Participating Providers and Suppliers (CMS-3178-F) | 0938- | This final rule establishes national emergency preparedness requirements for Medicare- and Medicaid-participating providers and suppliers to ensure that they adequately plan for both natural and man-made disasters, and coordinate with federal, state, tribal, regional, and local emergency preparedness systems to ensure that these providers and suppliers are adequately prepared to meet the needs of patients, residents, clients, and participants during disasters and emergency situations. These regulations will help to ensure the safety of those receiving care in any setting if an emergency situation occurs. | | Proposed Rule published: 12/27/13 78 FR 79082 Final Rule target: Before the MMA section 902 deadline - December 2016 | Pilot projects; Exceptions processes; Phase-ins | Public comment; Analyses; Industry Feedback | This rule includes important health and safety initiatives to protect Medicare beneficiaries. All of the data CMS has read regarding emergency preparedness indicates that implementing the requirements in this rule could have a significant impact on protecting the health and safety of individuals served by providers and suppliers that participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. |

| The content of the co | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------------|--|--------|--|-----------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | Status of | Target Completion | | | |
| March Marc | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part | | | | , | | | | | | |
| Sept Market Mark | | | | | | | | | What methods will you engage in to Identify Improvements (public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc.). Please | If Available, anticipated or realized savings in costs &/or burdens and anticipated or |
| West 1 | Agency | Sub-agency | Title Of Initiative/Rule or ICR | Number | Summary of Initiative | | | | | |
| West 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part | | | | | This final rule amends the fire safety standards for bosnitals, critical access bosnitals, long- | | | | | This rule includes important health and safety initiatives to protect Medicare |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part | | | | | | | Final Rule target: | | | |
| Particular designation of the property of the | | | | 0938- | regulations to all earlier editions. These regulations will ensure that care will be delivered | | | | | this rule due to the lack of data that could provide a reliable estimate, we believe that |
| Part | HHS | CMS | (CMS-3277-F) | AR72 | in a safe setting. | Ongoing | April 2017 | State flexibilities; Exceptions processes; Phase-ins | Public comment | there is potential for such a result. |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fig. 1. The content of the content o | | | | | | | published: 10/9/14 | | | |
| | | | | | This final rule revises the current conditions of participation that home health agencies | | | | | |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Home Health Agency Conditions of | | | | | | | |
| The state of the s | | | | 0938- | | | | | | |
| Part | HHS | CMS | (CMS-3819-F) | AG81 | | Ongoing | | Exceptions processes; Phase-ins | Public comment; Analyses; Industry Feedback | |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | In 2012, CMS estimated that this rule would save approximately \$17.7 billion for FY |
| A PROPER TO A PROP | | | | | | | | | | 2014, reflecting \$13.7 billion in federal savings and \$4 billion in state savings. These |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Conting of the Co | | | | | This final rule implements several provisions of the Affordable Care Act that pertain to | | | | | |
| See No. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19 | | | | | | | | | | |
| The paper of the first of the second for the second | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | HHS | CMS | (CMS-2345-F) | AQ41 | revises the Federal Upper Payment Limits for multiple source drugs. | Ongoing | October 2015 | processes; Phase-ins | Public comment; Analyses | of the cost and benefits of these important initiatives will be included in the rule. |
| Part | | | | | This proposed rule would revise the requirements that Long-Term Care (LTC) facilities | | | | | |
| Part | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part | | | | | | | Proposed Pule | | | |
| Part | | | Requirements for Long Term Care | | | | | | | |
| An of the parameter (PMP) COS | | | Facilities & | | health care furnished through federal programs, and in patient safety, while at the same | | | | | |
| Signature Sign | | | | | | | | | | |
| Result of Result Angeling Care, Care For Polymore of Resilusion Care For Polymore of Resilusio | ннѕ | CMS | (CMS-3260-P) | | | Ongoing | | Exceptions processes; Phase-ins | Public comment; Analyses; Industry Feedback | |
| Programmer of Sectionary Care for the Management of Sectionary Care fo | | | | | | | | | | |
| March Marc | | | Drograms of All Inclusion Care for the | | | | | | | |
| Section (1997) (2014) (| | | | 0938- | | | | | | |
| Comparison to column of the second state of | HHS | CMS | | AR60 | | Ongoing | | Streamlined requirements; Exceptions processes | Public comment; Analyses | |
| Comparison to column of the second state of | | | | | This can be a second as a seco | | | | | |
| be risk growing clark through throug | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medical Manager Care, CIP polivered in Manager Care, CIP polivered in Manager Care, and provinces to premotive the promotive or promotive to premotive the promotive or promotive to promotive the promotive or promotive to promotive the promotive or pr | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medical Managed Care, City Published In Managed Care, program ratios, program ratios of program of the comment of the set in the set | | | | | | | | | | |
| believed in Managed Care, and Persistent Processing of Tright Part Justified Processing Care In Part Part Justified Processing Care | | | Medicaid Managed Care, CHIP | | | | Proposed Rule | | | The overall economic impact for this rule is estimated to be \$112 million in the first year |
| in the Section of Policy (2015) 2890-9 (2015 | | | Delivered in Managed Care, and | | ensure appropriate beneficiary protections and enhance expectations for program | | Published: 6/1/15; 80 | | | of implementation. Additionally, non-quantifiable benefits include improved health |
| The NPRM Annualized over 20 years, the labeling regulations to make nurrition information on package flood index useful to comment. The NPRM Annualized over 20 years, the labeling cost associated with the proposed rules is \$122 million per year at 3 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 2 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 2 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 3 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 2 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 3 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 3 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 3 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 3 3th discount rate and \$155 million per year at 3 3th discount rate. We estimate the first with the proposed rate is \$1522 million per year at 3 3th discount rate. The NPRM Annualized over 20 years, the labeling cost associated with the proposed rate of f | | | | | | | | | | |
| This progoced risk would recise and update food beliefing regulations on packaged from the would recise and update food beliefing regulations on packaged from the would recise and update food beliefing regulations. The risk regulation information found not the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the belief information found not the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition of Progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other progress of the Nutrition Recise black as well as the other products (i.e., combination products (i.e., combination products (i.e., combination products). The rule would clarify that a combination product is subject to the recise approval, because of the Nutrition Recise and State State Progress of the Nutrition Recise and Products and State Progress of the Nutrition Recise and State Progress of the Nutrition Recise and Products and State Progress of the Nutrition Recise and Products and Products and Products and Products and State Progress of the Nutrition Recise and Products and Products and Products and Products and Products and Products and Product | HHS | CMS | (CMS-2390-P) | A525 | nability for trauma codes. | Ungoing | | Exceptions processes | Profit comment; Analyses; State Teedback | access, and improved program transparency which facilitates better decision making. |
| moderate the nutrition information found on the Nutrition Fasts label, as well as the process and and papers are control to belt in the product received and papers and appears and papers and appears | | | | | | | 3/3/14 79 FR 11879; | | | |
| HS FDA Food labeling (Nutrition Initiative) FDA Food labeling (Nutrition Initi | | | | | | | | | | |
| FAX Food Labeling (Nutrition initiative) AP2 Packing Safety Reporting for Combination products (a.g., combinations of drug, devise), and products (a.g., combinations) of a devise), and a devise), and a devise) of a devise) of a devise). The proposed rule of those of the during the drug is nort | | | | 0910- | | | | | | |
| Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination of trug, device, and appropriateness of post market safety reporting requirements subject to the reporting requirements saskabled with the type of marketing application under which the product receives approval, lecrossure, or clearance and to certain additional specified reporting requirements depending on the types of constituent parts. This regulation would ensure consistency and appropriateness of post market safety reporting for combination products while minimizing duplicative reporting minimizing duplicative reporting requirements. Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination Products Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination Products while minimizing duplicative reporting requirements. Proposed Rule Proposed Rule Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 74 F8 50734 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 74 F8 50734 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 75 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TB | HHS | FDA | Food Labeling (Nutrition Initiative) | AF22 | | Ongoing | | No | Public comments Public comments | The benefits are based on consumers willingness to pay for the label information |
| Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination of trug, device, and appropriateness of post market safety reporting requirements subject to the reporting requirements saskabled with the type of marketing application under which the product receives approval, lecrossure, or clearance and to certain additional specified reporting requirements depending on the types of constituent parts. This regulation would ensure consistency and appropriateness of post market safety reporting for combination products while minimizing duplicative reporting minimizing duplicative reporting requirements. Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination Products Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination Products while minimizing duplicative reporting requirements. Proposed Rule Proposed Rule Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 74 F8 50734 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 74 F8 50734 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 75 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 76 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 77 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TBD Proposed Rule published: 10/10/99 78 Finis Rule target: TB | | | | | This rule would describe the nest market safety reporting requirements for | | | | | |
| clarfy that a combination product is subject to the reporting requirements associated with the type of marketing application under which the type of market safety application of the market safety under the market safety | | | | | | | | | | |
| with the type of marketing application under which the product receives approval, licensure, or dearnace and under which the product receives approval, licensure, or dearnace and specified reporting requirements depending on the types of constituent parts. This regulation would ensure consistency and appropriateness of post market safety reporting for combination products Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination Products FDA Combination Products AF82 Minimation Products AF83 Minimation Products AF84 Minimation Products while minimating duplicative reporting requirements. AF84 Minimation Products AF84 Minimation Products AF85 Minimation Products AF85 Minimation Products AF85 Minimation Products AF85 Minimation Products AF86 Minimation Products AF87 Minimation Products AF87 Minimation Products AF87 Minimation Products AF88 Minimation Products AF89 Minimation Products | | | | | clarify that a combination product is subject to the reporting requirements associated | | | | | |
| Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination Products FDA Reporting for combination products while minimizing duplicative reporting for departments FIRST Combination Products while minimizing duplicative reporting for departments FIRST Combination Products while minimizing duplicative reporting for departments FDA Streamline Appropriate reporting for departments where electronic distribution requirements FDA FOA Combination Products while minimizing duplicative reporting for departments where electronic distribution requirements FDA FOA Combination Products while minimizing duplicative reporting for departments where electronic distribution requirements FDA FOA FOA FOA FOA FOA FOA FOA FOA FOA FO | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post marketing Safety Reporting for Combination Products will and appropriateness of post market safety reporting for combination products while minimizing duplicative reporting for determining the product for electronic distribution requirements. The proposed rule quirements The proposed rule quirements The NPRM includes an analysis of costs and benefits and predicts annualized net savings proposed an effective date of 6 months after publication of the final rule with a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to personable minimizing duplicative reporting for advantage across proposed an effective date of 6 months after publication of the final rule with a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to personable minimizing duplicative reporting for advant | | | | | | | | | | This regulation would ensure consistency and appropriateness of post market cofety |
| HIS FDA Combination Products AF82 minimizing duplicative reporting requirements. Ongoing Final Rule target: TBO Streamlined requirements. Public comments product from electronic distribution requirements where electronic distribution could adversely a product from electronic distribution requirements where electronic distribution could adversely a product from electro | | | Post marketing Safety Reporting for | 0910- | | | 7-111 30744 | | | |
| 12/18/14. Comment period ended \$/18/15. Comment period \$/18/15. Comment period ended \$/18/15. Comment period \$/18/15. Comment period ended \$/18/15. Comment | HHS | FDA | Combination Products | AF82 | | Ongoing | | Streamlined requirements | Public comments | |
| period ended 5/28/15 product from electronic distribution requirements where electronic distribution requirements where electronic distribution could adversely affect the safety, effectiveness, purity, or potency of the drug; is not technological product labeling regulations to require that the prescribing information intended for health care proposed an effective date of 6 months after publication of the safety purity or protection to final rule with a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to final rule with a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to grant public health care period of the safety purity of | | | | | | | | The assessed of Michael and Mi | | |
| electronic distribution could adversely affect the safety, effectiveness, purity, or potency of the drug; is not technologically resolate; or so therwise inappropriate. FDA has regulations to require that the prescribing information intended for health care professorials distributed electronically to ensure that the most up-to-date information for Human Prescription 0910- regarding after yand efficacy will be available to health care permitted in a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to permitted in a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to permitted in a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to permitted in a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to permitted in a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to permitted in a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to to the most up-to-date version of the public health care permitted in a 2-year period of enforcement discretion to to the most up-to-date version of the prescribing information and the aversion of the permitted in the most up-to-date version of the per | | | | | | | | | | |
| effectiveness, purity, or potency of the drug; insor the final product labeling regulations to require that the prescription drug and biological product labeling regulations to require that the prescribing information intended for health care proposed an effective date of 6 months after publication of the professionals be distributed electronically to ensure that the most up-to-date information for Human Prescription on the prescription of th | | | | | | | ps30 caca 3/18/13 | | | |
| Electronic Distribution of Prescribing Information intended for health care proposed an effective date of 6 months after publication of the single professional formation in for Human Prescription of 10 regarding safety and efficacy will be available and readily accessible to health care permit maximum flexibility for implementation of required to the most up-to-date version of the permit maximum flexibility for implementation of required to the most up-to-date version of the permit maximum flexibility for implementation of required. | | | | | | | | effectiveness, purity, or potency of the drug; is not | | |
| Electronic Distribution of Prescribing Information for Human Prescription O910- regarding safety and efficacy will be available and readily accessible to health care Describing information have not been quantified, | | | | | | | | | | The NPPM includes an analysis of costs and benefits and prodicts annualized acts with |
| Information for Human Prescription 0910 regarding safety and efficacy will be available and readily accessible to health care permit maximum flexibility for implementation of required | | | Electronic Distribution of Prescribing | | | | | | | |
| HHS FDA Drugs and Biological Products (eDL) AG18 professionals at the time of clinical decision making and dispensing, Ongoing labeling changes. Public comment. Internal and external analyses were performed in development of the NPRM. | | | | | | | | permit maximum flexibility for implementation of required | | |
| | HHS | FDA | Drugs and Biological Products (eDL) | AG18 | professionals at the time of clinical decision making and dispensing. | Ongoing | | labeling changes. | Public comment. Internal and external analyses were performed in development of the NPRM. | but are anticipated. |

| | | | | | | | T | | |
|-------|------------|---|---------------|--|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | Status of | Target Completion | | | |
| | | | | | Initiative | Date (if completed, | | | |
| | | | RIN/ | | New to this | please add the | Does the Initiative include regulatory flexibilities such as pilot | | |
| | | | OMB | | update, | publication date and cite in Federal | projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger | What methods will you engage in to Identify Improvements (public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc.). Please | If Available, anticipated as scaling caulings in casts 9 for burdons and anticipated as |
| Agenc | Sub-agency | Title Of Initiative/Rule or ICR | Number | Summary of Initiative | Ongoing, or Completed | | provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or other similar strategies? | identify all that apply | realized changes in benefits |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Implementation of 505(q) – Amendment To Citizen Petitions. | | This final rule would amend certain regulations relating to citizen petitions, petitions for stay of action, and the submission of documents to the agency. These changes would | | | The regulation contains both trigger and certification / | | |
| | | Petitions for Stay of Action and | 0910- | implement provisions of the FDA Amendment Act and the Food and Drug Administration | | Final Rule target: | verification provisions. A related guidance document has also | | |
| HHS | FDA | Submissions of Documents to Dockets | AG26 | Safety and Innovation Act. | Ongoing | January 31, 2016 | been published. | Public comments Public comments | N/A |
| | | | | | | Proposed Rule published: 1/13/12; | | | |
| | | | | This proposed rule would modernize current good manufacturing practices for food and | | Supplement published: | The proposed rule, if finalized, would allow very small businesses | | |
| | | | | require a food facility to have and implement preventive controls to significantly minimize | | 9/29/14 | to comply with modified requirements, would exempt small and | | |
| | | Hazard Analysis and Risk-Based | 0910- | or prevent the occurrence of hazards that could affect food manufactured, processed, packed, or held by the facility. This action is intended to prevent or, at a minimum, quickly | | 79 FR 58523 Court Ordered Final Rule: | very small farms that only conduct specified low-risk activities, and would provide an extended compliance date for small and | | |
| HHS | FDA | Preventive Controls | AG36 | identify food-borne pathogens before they get into the food supply. | Ongoing | 8/30/15. | very small businesses. | Public comments and a contract for a Food Processing Sector Study to determine food processing activities conducted on farms. | TBD |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Patient Labeling for Drugs (Patient | No DIN | FDA is considering a proposed rule to require a one-page, single-sided Patient Medication Information document to replace the current forms of medication information distributed | | Proposed Rule target: | | | |
| HHS | FDA | Package Inserts and Medguides) | yet | to consumers such as medication guides and patient package inserts. | Ongoing | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | This proposed rule would amend the highests regulations by removing the | | Proposed Rule published: 8/22/14 | | | |
| | | | | This proposed rule would amend the biologics regulations by removing the general safety test (GST) requirements for biological products found in 21 CFR 610.11, 610.11a and | | 79 FR 49727 | | | |
| | | Revocation of the General Safety Test | 1. | 680.3(b). FDA is taking this action as part of its retrospective review of its regulations to | | | | | |
| HHS | FDA | Requirements for Biological Products | N/A | promote improvement and innovation. | Ongoing | Final Rule target: TBD | No Regulatory Flexibility | Public Comment | Reduces certain regulatory burdens |
| | | Amending the general biological product standards relating to dating | | | | | | | |
| | | periods, standard preparations and | | | | | | | |
| | | limits on potency [Title change: Standard preparations, limits of | | The proposed rule would provide additional flexibility to manufacturers of licensed biological products by amending the general biological products standards relating to | | | | | |
| | | potency, and dating period limitations | | dating periods and removing certain regulations for standard preparations and limits of | | | | | |
| | | for biological products] | | potency. FDA is taking this action to provide additional flexibility to manufacturers of | | Proposed Rule target: | | | |
| HHS | FDA | | N/A | licensed products and to update obsolete or outdated requirements. | Ongoing | TBD | No Regulatory Flexibility | Public Comment | TBD |
| | | | | | | Proposed Rule | | | |
| | | | | | | published: 6/24/13 | | | |
| | | Laser Products: Amendment to | 0910- | This cannot be a selected as a | | 78 FR 37723 | | | Was additional and the state of |
| HHS | FDA | Performance Standards | AF87 | This proposed rule would amend the performance standards for laser products to achieve closer harmonization with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standards. | Ongoing | Final Rule target: TBD | Streamlined requirements | Public comments | We anticipate a burden reduction because we will achieve closer harmonization with international standards. |
| | | | | | | Proposed Rule | | | |
| | | | | | | published: 4/19/13 | | | |
| | | | | | | 78 FR 23508 | | | |
| | | | 0910- | FDA is considering whether to allow validated symbols in certain device labeling without | | Final Rule target: | | | Regulation would reduce burden of labeling requirements by harmonizing with |
| HHS | FDA | Use of Symbols in Device Labeling | AG74 | the need for accompanying English text. | Ongoing | TBD | Streamlined requirements | Public comments | international standards. |
| | | | | FDA is conducting a retrospective economic review of this economically significant | | | | | |
| | | | | regulation, originally issued in 2004. The rule requires the inclusion of linear bar codes | | Proposed Rule | | | |
| | | | | such as are used on millions of packages of consumer goods on the label of most | | published: 10/26/11 76 FR 66235 | | | |
| | | | No RIN | prescription drugs and on certain over-the-counter drugs. Each bar code must contain, at a minimum, the drug's National Drug Code number and may include information about | | 76 FR 00235 | | | |
| HHS | FDA | Bar Code Rule for Drugs | yet | lot number and product expiration dates. | Ongoing | Final Rule target: TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| pue | FDA | Good Laboratory Practices for Nonclinical Laboratory Studies | No RIN | FDA is reviewing regulations for nonclinical laboratory studies to determine how best to update them. | Ongoing | Target: TRD | Streamlined requirements | Public comments | TRD |
| HHS | FUA | INOTICITICAL CADOFATORY STUDIES | yet | upuate them. | Ongoing | Target: TBD | Streamlined requirements | Profit confinents | I DU |
| | | New Animal DrugsRecords and | | | | | | | |
| uuc | FDA | Reports concerning experience with | No RIN | FDA is reviewing regulations to determine how to clarify, streamline, and harmonize with | Ongoing | Torget: TRD | Streamlined requirements | Bublic comments, Harmonization with Veterinan International Conference on Harmonization (VIC) | TOD |
| HHS | FUA | approved drugs and medicated feeds | yet | international standards. | Ongoing | Target: TBD | Streamlined requirements | Public comments; Harmonization with Veterinary International Conference on Harmonization (VICH) | IDU |
| | | | | | | | The rule will include a waiver provision that, upon request , will | | |
| | | | | | | Proposed Rule | allow any applicable requirement to be waived. Waivers may be | | The rule will clarify FDA's requirements for using clinical data collected domestically and |
| | | Human Subject Protection; Acceptance | | This rule will amend FDA's regulations on acceptance of data from clinical investigations conducted in support of a medical device premarket approval submission to allow data | | published: 2/25/13 78 FR 12664 | granted if an explanation is provided for why compliance with the requirement is unnecessary or cannot be achieved, if an | | collected outside the United States to support medical device applications submitted to FDA. Clarifying these requirements will help to ensure the integrity of the data and the |
| | | of Clinical Investigations for Medical | 0910- | from foreign clinical investigations as long as those investigations are conducted in | | | alternative is provided that satisfies the purpose of the | | protection of human subjects; thereby, facilitating the use of such data in support of |
| HHS | FDA | Devices | AG48 | accordance with good clinical practices. | Ongoing | Final Rule target: TBD | requirement, or if adequate justification can be provided. | Public comments | new device applications. |
| | | | | | | Proposed Rule | | | FDA estimates the annualized cost savings associated with the more efficient |
| | | | | | | published: 12/12/13 | | | requirements of the VFD process to be \$13,000 over 10 years at a 7 percent discount |
| | | | | | | 78 FR 75515; Final | | | rate (annualized at \$11,000 over 10 years at a 3 percent discount rate). Additionally, the |
| HHS | FDA | Veterinary Feed Directives | 0910- AG95 | This initiative would improve efficiency of the process for veterinarians to issue feed directives. | Completed | Rule published 6/2/2015 80 FR 31707 | Streamlined requirements. | Public comments | reduction in veterinarian labor costs due to this rule is expected to result in a cost savings of about \$7.87 million annually. |
| 5 | 104 | | ,,,,,,, | | zompieteu | | - Control of the cont | | and the state of t |
| | | | | | | Proposed Rule | | | |
| | | | | | | Published (pre and post market safety | | | |
| | | | | | | reporting): 3/14/03 | | | |
| | | | | FDA is considering whether to revise certain definitions and reporting requirements based | | Final Rule Published | | | |
| | | Post marketing Safety Reporting Requirements for Human Drugs and | 0910- | on recommendations of the International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements. This is intended to enhance the quality of the safety reports and facilitate | | (pre-market safety reporting): 9/29/10 | | | |
| ннѕ | FDA | Biological Products | AA97 | harmonization. | Ongoing | reporting). 9/29/10 | Harmonize with international requirements | | |
| | | | | | | | | | • |

| | | | | | Status of | Target Completion | | | |
|--------|-----------|--|---------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | RIN/ | | Initiative New to this | Date (if completed, please add the | Does the Initiative include regulatory flexibilities such as pilot | | |
| | | | ОМВ | | update, | publication date and | projects, safe harbor exemptions, sunset provisions, trigger | | |
| | | | Control | | Ongoing, or | cite in Federal | provisions, streamlined requirements, state flexibilities, or | What methods will you engage in to Identify Improvements (public comment, analyses, third party assessments, etc.). Please | |
| Agency | Sub-agenc | Title Of Initiative/Rule or ICR | Number | Summary of Initiative | Completed | Register for example) | other similar strategies? | identify all that apply | realized changes in benefits |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | FDA is proposing to amend its regulations governing mammography. The amendments would update the regulations issued under the Mammography Quality Standards Act of | | | | | |
| | | | | 1992 (MQSA). FDA is taking this action to address changes in mammography technology | | | | | FDA anticipates burden reductions from this rule by updating the regulations to reflect |
| | | Mammography Quality Standards Act; | 0910- | and mammography processes, such as breast density reporting, that have occurred since | | | | | current mammography technology. This NPRM could improve accuracy of |
| HHS | FDA | | | the regulations were published in 1997. | Ongoing | Target: TBD | Allow for technological advances. | Public comments . | mammography by decreases the number of false positives and false negative. |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Updating the regulations to reflect policy and other changes will increase transparency |
| | | | | | | | | | of current program procedures and practices. Updating the documents that are |
| | | | | | | | | | incorporated by reference in the regulations will make it much easier for the public to |
| | | | | NIH is revising the current NIH construction grants regulations at 42 FR 52b, last updated in November 1999, to reflect updated standards, laws, policies, and practices of the NIH | | | | | access information regarding minimum construction standards that apply to all NIH |
| | | | | construction grants program and update the documents incorporated by reference in the | | | | | construction grants projects. Providing web addresses will ensure that the most current information is readily available to grantees, thereby eliminating the need to conduct |
| HHS | NIH | NIH Construction Grants | TRD | current regulations. | stage. | December-15 | No | Public comment | searches and/or make campus visits to view the documents. |
| 11115 | 14 | THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE | 100 | editerioregulations. | Stoge. | December 15 | 110 | T doic comment | scarcing and or make campas visits to view the documents. |
| | | Human Subjects Research Protections: | | The proposed rule would revise current human subjects regulations in order to strengther | n | | | | Although the quantified costs of this rule outweigh the quantified benefits, the benefits of |
| | | Enhancing Protections for Research | | protections for research subjects while facilitating valuable research and reducing burden | , | | | | enhanced protections to research subjects and clear guidance to the research community |
| | | Subjects and Reducing Burden, Delay, | | delay, and ambiguity for investigators. It could eliminate unnecessary Institutional Review | | | | | further enhances the federal government and research partners' ability to conduct cutting- |
| | | and Ambiguity for Investigators | | Board (IRB) reviews and enable IRBs to better focus their resources on review of research | | Proposed Rule target: | | | edge research to improve the health of all Americans. |
| HHS | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | OASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocols that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ongoing | July 2015 | Modernizes and streamlines requirements. | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocols that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ungoing | | Modernizes and streamlines requirements. | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocols that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ungoing | Proposed Rule | Modernizes and streamlines requirements. | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocols that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ungoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 | Modernizes and streamlines requirements. | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | OASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocols that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ongoing | Proposed Rule | Modernizes and streamlines requirements. | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | OASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocois that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 | Modernizes and streamlines requirements. | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | AAO2 | protocols that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ungoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 | | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocois that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ungoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD | | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocois that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ungoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's | | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | AA02 | protocols that pose greater than minimal risks to subjects. | Ungoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to | | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | ("Common Rule") | | | Ungoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other | | Public comments; Interagency partners; Analyses | |
| | UASH | | | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the | Ungoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the | | | The modifications would provide the individual with information about those disclosures |
| uue | | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of | 0945- | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated | | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the | | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, |
| ннѕ | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entities with the benefit to individuals. | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH ACL!) | | | |
| HHS | | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated | | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the | | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, |
| | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act Removal of Dissolate Provisions in the | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entities with the benefit to individuals. This direct final rule would remove obsolete provisions from the code of federal | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH Act.) Direct Final Rule | No | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this initiative. | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, |
| | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act Removal of Dissolate Provisions in the | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entities with the benefit to individuals. This direct final rule would remove obsolete provisions from the code of federal regulations. The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS" or "Department") is proposing to establish scientific and technical guidelines for the inclusion of oral fluid specimens in the | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH Act.) Direct Final Rule | No Allow for technological advances. | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this initiative. | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, |
| | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act Removal of Dissolate Provisions in the | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entitles with the benefit to individuals. This direct final rule would remove obsolete provisions from the code of federal regulations. The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS" or "Department") is proposing to | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH Act.) Direct Final Rule | No Allow for technological advances. SAMHSA proposes to issue the Federal Workplace Drug Testing Oral Fluid Mandatory Guidelines. The Guidelines will allow Executive Branch agencies and the regulated industry to | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this initiative. N/A | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, |
| | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act Removal of Dissolate Provisions in the | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entities with the benefit to individuals. This direct final rule would remove obsolete provisions from the code of federal regulations. The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS" or "Department") is proposing to establish scientific and technical guidelines for the inclusion of oral fluid specimens in the | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH Act.) Direct Final Rule | No Allow for technological advances. SAMMSA proposes to issue the Federal Workplace Drug Testing Oral Fluid Mandatory Guidelines. The Guidelines will allow Executive Branch agencies and the regulated industry to implement an alternative testing process that is less intrusive and | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this initiative. N/A | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, |
| | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act Removal of Obsolete Provisions in the Code of Federal Regulations | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entities with the benefit to individuals. This direct final rule would remove obsolete provisions from the code of federal regulations. The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS" or "Department") is proposing to establish scientific and technical guidelines for the inclusion of oral fluid specimens in the | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCR's regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH Act.) Direct Final Rule | No Allow for technological advances. SAMHSA proposes to issue the Federal Workplace Drug Testing Oral Fluid Mandatory Guidelinat. The Guidelines will allow Executive Branch agencies and the regulated industry to implement an alternative testing process that is less intrusive and cost/time effective when compared to the current urine based | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this initiative. N/A | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, while reducing administrative burden on regulated entities. N/A |
| | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act Removal of Obsolete Provisions in the Code of Federal Regulations Oral Fluid Mandatory Guidelines for | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entities with the benefit to individuals. This direct final rule would remove obsolete provisions from the code of federal regulations. The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS" or "Department") is proposing to establish scientific and technical guidelines for the inclusion of oral fluid specimens in the | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCE; regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH Act.) Direct Final Rule target: TBD | No Allow for technological advances. SAMHSA proposes to Issue the Federal Workplace Drug Testing Oral Fluid Mandatory Guidelines. The Guidelines will allow Executive Branch agencies and the regulated industry to implement an alternative testing process that is less intrusive and cost/time effective when compared to the current urine based testing program. The use of an electronic chain-of-custody form | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this initiative. N/A | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, while reducing administrative burden on regulated entities. N/A The Oral Fluid Mandatory Guidelines will lessen the administrative and financial burden |
| | OCR | HIPAA Privacy Rule Accounting of Disclosures under the HITECH Act Removal of Obsolete Provisions in the Code of Federal Regulations | 0945- AA00 | The final rule would revise the current accounting of disclosures requirements in the HIPAA Privacy Rule to improve workability and to better balance the burden to regulated entities with the benefit to individuals. This direct final rule would remove obsolete provisions from the code of federal regulations. The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS" or "Department") is proposing to establish scientific and technical guidelines for the inclusion of oral fluid specimens in the | Ongoing | Proposed Rule Published: 5/31/11 76 FR 31425 Final Rule: TBD (changes are currently attached to a long term action on OCE; regulatory agenda to implement other changes to the provisions under the HITECH Act.) Direct Final Rule target: TBD | No Allow for technological advances. SAMHSA proposes to Issue the Federal Workplace Drug Testing Oral Fluid Mandatory Guidelines. The Guidelines will allow Executive Branch agencies and the regulated industry to implement an alternative testing process that is less intrusive and cost/time effective when compared to the current urine based testing program. The use of an electronic chain-of-custody form | Public comment was obtained on the proposed rule. OCR also engaged in meetings with stakeholders on matters relating to this initiative. N/A | that are most likely to have an impact on the individual's legal and personal interests, while reducing administrative burden on regulated entities. N/A |